

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POST OFFICE STRUCTURE PLAN

Docket No. N2012-2

**UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE NOTICE OF FILING
LIBRARY REFERENCE USPS-LR-N2012-2/NP1
(June 15, 2012)**

The Postal Service files the material indicated below in this proceeding as
Category 4 Library Reference:

USPS-LR-N2012-2/NP1 Walk-In Revenue [Non-Public]

This library reference includes walk-in meter revenue, walk-in stamp revenue, and total revenue for EAS Level 16 or below Post Offices under POSTPlan. The Postal Service regards facility-specific revenue data to be commercially-sensitive and proprietary information that should not be released into the public domain. Accordingly, it is providing the USPS Library Reference N2012-2/NP1 as a non-public library reference. An application for non-public treatment of USPS Library Reference N2012-2/NP1 is attached to this Notice.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno
Chief Counsel, Global Business & Service
Development

Caroline R. Brownlie
Adriene M. Davis
Kenneth N. Hollies
James M. Mecone
Keith C. Nusbaum

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-6525; Fax -5402
June 15, 2012

**APPLICATION OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
FOR NON-PUBLIC TREATMENT OF MATERIALS**
(June 15, 2012)

In accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 3007.21 and Order No. 225,¹ the United States Postal Service (Postal Service) applies for non-public treatment of certain materials filed under seal with the Commission. Library Reference USPS-LR-N2012-2/NP1 includes sensitive commercial information, consisting of walk-in revenue data for Post Offices under POStPlan.

(1) The rationale for claiming that the materials are non-public, including the specific statutory basis for the claim, and a statement justifying application of the provision(s);

The materials designated as non-public consist of information of a commercial nature that under good business practice would not be publicly disclosed. In the Postal Service's view, this information would be exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to 39 U.S.C. § 410(c)(2) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(3). Because the portions of the materials that the Postal Service applies to file under seal fall within the scope of information not required to be publicly disclosed, the Postal Service asks the Commission to support its determination that these materials qualify as exempt from public disclosure and grant its application for their non-public treatment.

¹ PRC Order No. 225, Final Rules Establishing Appropriate Confidentiality Procedures, PRC Docket No. RM2008-1 (June 19, 2009).

(2) Identification, including name, phone number, and email address for any third-party who is known to have a proprietary interest in the materials, or if such an identification is sensitive, contact information for a Postal Service employee who shall provide notice to that third party;

Jeffrey C. Day
Manager, Retail Operations
United States Postal Service
475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-7340
(202) 268-5848
jeffery.c.day@usps.gov

(3) A description of the materials claimed to be non-public in a manner that, without revealing the materials at issue, would allow a person to thoroughly evaluate the basis for the claim that they are non-public;

The non-public material contains commercial information of the Postal Service -- facility-specific revenue data for Post Offices under POSTPlan. The Postal Service maintains that the non-public portions of these materials should remain confidential.

(4) Particular identification of the nature and extent of commercial harm alleged and the likelihood of such harm;

If the information that the Postal Service seeks to protect from disclosure due to its confidential nature were disclosed publicly, the Postal Service considers it quite likely that it would suffer harm. Revealing facility-specific revenue data would enable competitors to focus marketing efforts on particular Postal Service locations where there are potential customers for the competitor. The Postal Service considers it highly probable that, if this information were made public, the Postal Service's competitors would take immediate advantage of it.

Such information is information of a commercial nature, which under good business practice would not be publicly disclosed. In the Postal Service's opinion, this information would be exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to 39 U.S.C. § 410(c)(2) and 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(b)(2,-3).²

(5) At least one specific hypothetical, illustrative example of each alleged harm;

Harm: Revealing facility-specific walk-in revenue data would enable competitors to target the location for sales and marketing purposes.

Hypothetical: Walk-in revenue at a particular location is revealed to the public. A nearby private mailbox and shipping services provider reviews the information, and determines that there are enough potential customers at the postal location that an advertising campaign would be justified. The private company directs advertising for its mailbox and shipping service to the postal customers, perhaps using a billboard near the postal location and offering to match postal prices for a three-month trial.

(6) The extent of protection from public disclosure deemed to be necessary;

The Postal Service maintains that facility revenue data for POSTplan Post Offices should be withheld from any person who has not agreed to the Commission's standard confidentiality requirements, including any person involved in competitive decision-

² In certain circumstances, the Commission may determine the appropriate level of confidentiality to be afforded to such information after weighing the nature and extent of the likely commercial injury to the Postal Service against the public interest in maintaining the financial transparency of a government establishment competing in commercial markets. 39 U.S.C. § 504(g)(3)(A). The Commission has indicated that "likely commercial injury" should be construed broadly to encompass other types of injury, such as harms to privacy, deliberative process, or law enforcement interests. PRC Order No. 194, Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Establish a Procedure for According Appropriate Confidentiality, Docket No. RM2008-1, Mar. 20, 2009, at 11.

making for any entity that might gain competitive advantage from use of this information.

(7) The length of time deemed necessary for the non-public materials to be protected from public disclosure with justification thereof; and

The Commission's regulations provide that non-public materials shall lose non-public status ten years after the date of filing with the Commission, unless the Commission or its authorized representative enters an order extending the duration of that status. 39 C.F.R. § 3007.30.

(8) Any other factors or reasons relevant to support the application.

The Postal Service considers this Application sufficient to fulfill the Postal Service's obligations to justify its determination that facility-specific revenue data should be treated as non-public, and redacted in the public version of this filing.

Conclusion

For the reasons discussed, the Postal Service requests that the Commission grant this application for non-public treatment of the identified material.